



LEA 02	Discipline: Monetary	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff</li> </ul>	<p><b>Type of engagement</b></p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>	
	<p>Collaborative engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements</li> </ul>
	<p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p><b>Reason for interaction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decisionmaking in &amp; company's material ESG issues</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To enhance corporate transition or identify the need for additional or</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> To engage internal ESG decision</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in service providers</li> </ul>

# RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

## 2020

Aktie-Ansvar AB

## About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

## Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

# Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		🔒	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	✓	Public							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	✓	Private							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Public							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	🔒	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	✓						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	🔒	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	-	n/a		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Fixed Income				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FI 01	Incorporation strategies applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 02	ESG issues and issuer research	✓	Private	✓						
FI 03	Processes to ensure analysis is robust	✓	Public	✓						
FI 04	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓						
FI 05	Examples of ESG factors in screening process	✓	Private	✓						
FI 06	Screening - ensuring criteria are met	✓	Public	✓						
FI 07	Thematic investing - overview	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 08	Thematic investing - themed bond processes	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 09	Thematic investing - assessing impact	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 10	Integration overview	✓	Public	✓						
FI 11	Integration - ESG information in investment processes	✓	Public	✓						
FI 12	Integration - E,S and G issues reviewed	✓	Public	✓						
FI 13	ESG incorporation in passive funds	🔒	n/a	✓						
FI 14	Engagement overview and coverage	✓	Private		✓					
FI 15	Engagement method	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 16	Engagement policy disclosure	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI 17	Financial/ESG performance	✓	Private							✓
FI 18	Examples - ESG incorporation or engagement	✓	Private	✓	✓					
FI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Direct - Hedge Funds				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
HF 01	Rationale for adopting a RI policy	✓	Public							✓
HF 02	Normative codes/initiatives in the Hedge Fund industry	✓	Private				✓	✓		
HF 03	Organisation of RI responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
HF 04	RI training programme	✓	Public							✓
HF 05	Performance/remuneration metrics linked to RI incorporation	✓	Private	✓						
HF 06	ESG data, research and other resources used	✓	Public							✓
HF 07	ESG incorporation into quantitative and fundamental analysis	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 08	Changes to the RI incorporation process over the past 12 months	✓	Public	✓						✓
HF 09	Integration of Active Ownership	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 10	Examples of ESG risks/opportunities in investment decisions	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 11	Derivatives products and ESG impact	✓	Public							✓
HF 12	Long/short exposure and reporting	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 13	Metrics/KPI for RI progress	✓	Public	✓	✓					
HF 14	Exposure to climate risk	✓	Private							✓
HF 15	Reports to investors	✓	Public		✓					✓
HF End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	✓	Public							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Organisational Overview

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Aktie-Ansvar is one of Sweden's oldest Fund Management Company's established in 1965. Aktie is Swedish for Equity and Ansvar is Responsibility. Responsible-Equity would in other words be the closest English interpretation of the brand name.

The founders, back in 1965, were the Swedish part of Independent Order of Good Templars and what is today the Uniting Church of Sweden, Equmeniakyrkan. It's no coincidence responsible investments have been a part of Aktie-Ansvar since start.

Aktie-Ansvar has currently nine funds which consist of various assets such as equities, bonds, hedge funds and alternative strategies. Today Aktie-Ansvar is a part of the Garantum group.

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

**OO 02.1** | Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

Sweden

**OO 02.2** | Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

**OO 02.3** | Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

**FTE**

14

**OO 02.4** | Additional information. [Optional]

Aktie-Ansvar has a Portfolio Management team consisting of 7 highly skilled professionals divided into 4 discretionary PM's, 1 quantitative/systematic PM, 1 Programmer and 1 junior quantitative analyst. The PM team also have an external Senior Advisor with extensive experience within systematic strategies and financial mathematics.

<b>OO 03</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

**OO 03.1** | Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

**OO 03.3** | Additional information. [Optional]

Aktie-Ansvar is a part of the savings group of Garantum that consists of Garantum Fondkommission and Aktie-Ansvar which are the Fund Management Company within the group.

<b>OO 04</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway/Peering</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------	----------------

**OO 04.1** | Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

**OO 04.2** | Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		5	134	262	714
Currency	SEK				
Assets in USD			536	483	600

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

**OO 04.4**

Indicate the assets which are subject to an execution and/or advisory approach. Provide this figure based on the end of your reporting year

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

**Based on your reporting above, your total AUM is between 0.1 and 0.99 US\$ billion, and therefore your 2019/20 fee will be £ 1,683. Note that your total AUM is calculated by summing all figures provided in OO 04.2, 04.3, and 04.4.**

**OO 04.5**

Additional information. [Optional]

Aktie-Ansvar has 9 funds of which four are mutual funds (UCITS) and five are alternative investments funds (AIF).

**OO 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**OO 06.1**

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	10-50%	0
Fixed income	10-50%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	<10%	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Fund of hedge funds	<10%	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	<10%	0
Money market instruments	<10%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

**OO 06.2** Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

**OO 06.3** Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
- No

**OO 06.5** Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

**OO 09**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Peering**

**General**

**OO 09.1** Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

Developed Markets

100

Emerging Markets

0

Frontier Markets

0



**Asset class implementation gateway indicators**

<b>OO 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**OO 10.1** Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.

Listed equity – voting

- We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedicated voting providers
- We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and do not require external managers to vote on our behalf

Fixed income Corporate (financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (non-financial) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

Fixed income Corporate (securitised) – engagement

- We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors. Please explain why you do not.

<b>OO 11</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**OO 11.1** Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Listed equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - corporate (non-financial)

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fixed income - securitised

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Commodities

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Fund of hedge funds

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Cash

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

Money market instruments

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

General

OO 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.



**Core modules**

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

**RI implementation directly or via service providers**

**Direct - Listed Equity incorporation**

- Listed Equity incorporation

**Direct - Listed Equity active ownership**

- Engagements
- (Proxy) voting

**Direct - Fixed Income**

- Fixed income - Corporate (financial)
- Fixed income - Corporate (non-financial)
- Fixed income - Securitised

**Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules**

- Hedge Funds and/or Fund of Hedge Funds

**Closing module**

- Closing module

**Peering questions**

OO LE 01	Mandatory to Report	Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Gateway	General
----------	---------------------	-----------------------	--------	---------	---------

<b>OO LE 01.1</b>	Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.
-------------------	---

**Percentage of internally managed listed equities**

**Passive**

0

**Active - quantitative (quant)**

3

Active - fundamental and active - other

97

Total

100%

<b>OO FI 01</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report</b>	<b>Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>General</b>
-----------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

**OO FI 01.1** Provide a breakdown of your internally managed fixed income securities by active and passive strategies

<b>Corporate (financial)</b>	<p>Passive 0</p> <p>Active - quantitative (quant) 0</p> <p>Active - fundamental and active - other 100</p> <p>Total 100%</p>
<b>Corporate (non-financial)</b>	<p>Passive 0</p> <p>Active - quantitative (quant) 0</p> <p>Active - fundamental and active - other 100</p> <p>Total 100%</p>
<b>Securitised</b>	<p>Passive 0</p> <p>Active - quantitative (quant) 0</p> <p>Active - fundamental and active - other 100</p> <p>Total 100%</p>

OO FI 01.2 Additional information. [Optional]

We do not have any strategies that are passive within our internally managed fixed income.

OO FI 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". **Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report.** This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

OO FI 03.2 Indicate the approximate (+/- 5%) breakdown of your corporate and securitised investments by investment grade or high-yield securities.

Type	Investment grade (+/- 5%)	High-yield (+/- 5%)	Total internally managed
Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<b>100%</b>
Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%	<b>100%</b>

OO FI 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We do not have any additional information to add.

If you are invested in private debt and reporting on ratings is not relevant for you, please indicate below

OO FI 03.2 is not applicable as our internally managed fixed income assets are invested only in private debt.

# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Strategy and Governance

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Engagement policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Proxy) voting policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)

Other description (1)

Aktie-Ansvar do not invest in companies within the oil, gas and coal industry within our Swedish and European equity funds.

Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Aktie-Ansvar has as the primary objective to give shareholders the best possible risk-adjusted return. To be able to deliver that, we consider that certain investment strategies are necessary. Our equity funds and fixed income comply with ethical investment principles. Due to these principles, our funds will not invest in companies with sales exceeding 5 % derived from alcohol, tobacco, weapons, games or pornography. Thereby, companies that do not fulfill these requirements will not be invested in. Environmental, social and governance factors may have an impact on the investment decision. However, our funds that trade with different forms of beta exposures, such as exchanged traded funds or commodity certificates, do not use this ethical exclusion screening.

In cases where other asset management companies published relevant analysis relating to equity-based investment principles, these are considered as well in the investment process. One example of these are so called black listed companies that violate international standards for the environment, human rights, employee rights and anti-corruption.

No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 01 CC	Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	---	--------	-------------	---------

SG 01.6 CC

Indicate whether your organisation has identified transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities and factored this into the investment strategies and products, within the organisation's investment time horizon.

Yes

No

Describe why your organisation has not yet gone through a process to identify transition and physical climate-related risks and opportunities.

Aktie-Ansvar AB do not apply a general overall strategy for all investment decisions when it comes to climate-related risks and opportunities. In our Swedish and European equity funds there is no investments in companies within the oil, gas and coal industry. Some investments that are being done is due to good opportunities for the future based on climate-related risks. However, this is very individual depending on each case.

To exemplify, our Swedish equity fund invests in a company called Scandi Standard, which is a producer of chicken-based food products. In this particular case we see an opportunity as it is both more climate-friendly and healthier than bovine animals.

**SG 01.8  
CC**

Indicate whether the organisation publicly supports the TCFD?

- Yes
- No

Explain the rationale

.Our ambition is to publicly support the TCFD in the near future.

**SG 01.9  
CC**

Indicate whether there is an organisation-wide strategy in place to identify and manage material climate-related risks and opportunities.

- Yes
- No

Describe how and over what time frame the organisation will implement an organisation-wide strategy that manages climate-related risks and opportunities.

.At the moment, we do not have a time fram for the organisation to implement and organistaion-wide strategy that manages climate-related risks and oppurtunities.

**SG 1.10  
CC**

Indicate the documents and/or communications the organisation uses to publish TCFD disclosures.

- Public PRI Climate Transparency Report
- Annual financial filings
- Regular client reporting
- Member communications
- Other
- We currently do not publish TCFD disclosures

**SG 02**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 6**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**SG 02.1**

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL



URL

<http://www.aktieansvar.se/globalassets/aktie-ansvar/ansvar/policy/policy-for-ansvarsfulla-investeringar-uppdaterad-2019-05-17.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Screening / exclusions policy

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.aktieansvar.se/globalassets/aktie-ansvar/ansvar/policy/policy-for-ansvarsfulla-investeringar-uppdaterad-2019-05-17.pdf>

- Attachment (will be made public)
- (Proxy) voting policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

**SG 02.2**

Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.aktieansvar.se/ansvar/ansvar/ansvarsfulla-investeringar/>

- Attachment
- Reporting

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.aktieansvar.se/ansvar/ansvar/ansvarsfulla-investeringar/>

- Attachment
- Climate change

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

<http://www.aktieansvar.se/ansvar/ansvar/ansvarsfulla-investeringar/>

Attachment

Other RI considerations, specify (1)

We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

**SG 02.3** Additional information [Optional].

Starting 2017 our policy for responsible investment is publicly available on our website, <http://www.aktieansvar.se/ansvar/ansvar/policy/>.

<b>SG 03</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

**SG 03.1** Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

**SG 03.2** Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Aktie-Ansvar has a conflict of interest policy covering a broader aspect of interest, not solely within the investment process. Identified areas of interest of conflicts is stated in the policy. For 2019 it was between costumers, between costumers and the company and between costumers and people close to the company.

All conflicts of interest must be identified and handled either by mitigation or by accepting and disclosure.

The board of directors of Aktie-Ansvar has decided that conflict of interest should be a permanent topic that are dealt with on all board meetings. This in order to have the issue top of mind and to reduce the risk of missing any relevant conflict of interest.

No

**Objectives and strategies**

<b>SG 05</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway/Core Assessed</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

**SG 05.1**

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

**SG 05.2**

Additional information. [Optional]

All policies however are revised and implemented by the Board at least annually.

## Governance and human resources

**SG 07****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****General****SG 07.1**

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

### Roles

- Board members or trustees
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- Portfolio managers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- Investor relations
- Other role, specify (1)
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers
  - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
  - Implementation of responsible investment
  - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

**SG 07.2**

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

First line

The Board of Directors implement the policy by adoption. The CEO then oversee that the implementation is done within the organization. This is done through an instruction that the PM's undertake.

Second line

The independent functions of compliance and risk follow up upon the policies and instructions that the company has. In Aktie-Ansvar's case all policies and instructions are gathered within the internal rulebook.

Third line

Internal and external audit follow up on policies and how they are implemented in the organization.

**SG 07.3**

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0

<b>SG 07.4</b>	Additional information. [Optional]
----------------	------------------------------------

Aktie-Ansvar is a fund management company consisting of 16 professionals. The process of responsible investments within the funds is a process involving the BoD through policy implementation, the CEO through the instruction, follow up and reporting and several part of the staff through implementation. It isn't executed by one specific dedicated resource on the side, rather the process of responsible investment is an integrated part within the fund company.

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07
---

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

<b>SG 07 CC</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>General</b>
-----------------	--	---------------	--------------------	----------------

<b>SG 07.5 CC</b>	Indicate the roles in the organisation that have oversight, accountability and/or management responsibilities for climate-related issues.
-------------------	---

	Board members or trustees
--	---------------------------

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

	Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Risk Officer (CRO), Investment Committee
--	---

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

	Portfolio managers
--	--------------------

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

	External managers or service providers
--	--

- Oversight/accountability for climate-related issues
- Assessment and management of climate-related issues
- No responsibility for climate-related issues

**SG 07.6  
CC**

For board-level roles that have climate-related issue oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how these responsibilities are executed.

The board of directors or CEO can request an evaluation from an independent function in order to ensure compliance with our policies. When evaluating this policy, it is assessed that all the funds' holdings are in accordance with the policy and that all the investment instructions and documentation is fulfilled.

## Promoting responsible investment

**SG 09**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 4,5**

**SG 09.1**

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

### Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.  
[Optional]

Aktie-Ansvar acts where needed to get counterparties and service providers to join the PRI. During the reporting year this work has not been needed as much as last year hence the counterparties and service providers has joined the PRI largely.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AVCA: Sustainability Committee
- France Invest – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Climate Action 100+
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- HKVCA: ESG Committee
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Financial Action in the 21st Century
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.
---------	--

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
---------	--

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

	Description
--	-------------

Aktie-Ansvar works for greater transparency within the industry. For instants, we disclose our AuM flows monthly to the Swedish investment fund association. They disclose the fund flows separately for the fund management companies that have approved.

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI

	Description
--	-------------

Aktie-Ansvar acts where needed to get counterparties and service providers to join the PRI. During the reporting year this work has not been needed as much as last year hence the counterparties and service providers has joined the PRI largely.



### Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify
- No

## Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

SG 12.1

Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

## ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation carries out scenario analysis and/or modelling, and if it does, provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors

Describe

- By documenting portfolio companies ESG situation when deviating (worse or better) than its peers.
- Yes, in order to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
  - No, our organisation does not currently carry out scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

**We do the following**

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify
- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

<b>SG 14</b>	<b>Mandatory to Report Voluntary to Disclose</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	--	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

**SG 14.1** Some investment risks and opportunities arise as a result of long term trends. Indicate which of the following are considered.

- Changing demographics
- Climate change
- Resource scarcity
- Technological developments
- Other, specify(1)
- Other, specify(2)
- None of the above

**SG 14.5** Additional information [Optional]

It's primarily our hedge funds that identifies and take opportunities that could arise from different factors.

<b>SG 14 CC</b>	<b>Voluntary</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>General</b>
-----------------	------------------	---------------	----------------

**SG 14.8 CC** Indicate whether climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management and explain the risk management processes used for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks.

- Processes for climate-related risks are integrated into overall risk management

Please describe

Climate-related risks are one of many other factors that are taken under consideration in the risk management process for investment decisions. Although, climate-related risks are prioritized differently depending on industry. Aktie-Ansva do not have an identified framework for these types of risk assessments yet.

- Processes for climate-related risks are not integrated into overall risk management

<b>SG 14.9 CC</b>	Indicate whether your organisation, and/or external investment manager or service providers acting on your behalf, undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.
-----------------------	--

- Yes
- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities.
- No, we do not undertake active ownership activities to encourage TCFD adoption.

**Asset class implementation not reported in other modules**

<b>SG 16</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>General</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

<b>SG 16.1</b>	Describe how you address ESG issues for internally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.
----------------	--

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - Securitised	Our fixed income has a negative screening process whereby they exclude investments that have operations that are exceeding from weapons, pornography, alcohol, gaming activities and tobacco.
Commodities	Our global mix fund invests in other global funds and in other assets such as gold for example. Thereby, the fund do not have ethical criterias or a specific ESG integration into the investment decision making. However, the portfolio manager are in several engagement processes with ETF-providers to get them join UN-PRI. This engagement process is done with other industry colleagues.
Money market instruments	Our money market instruments has a negative screening process whereby they exclude investments that have operations that are exceeding from weapons, pornography, alcohol, gaming activities and tobacco.

**Communication**

<b>SG 19</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2, 6</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------

<b>SG 19.1</b>	Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.
----------------	---

**Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.**  
If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

	Listed equity - Incorporation
--	-------------------------------

Do you disclose?

- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly

Listed equity - Engagement

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

<b>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</b>	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="radio"/> Disclose all voting decisions</li> <li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Disclose some voting decisions</li> <li><input type="radio"/> Only disclose abstentions and votes against management</li> </ul>
	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</li> </ul>

Fixed income

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

<b>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</b>	
	<p>Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input checked="" type="radio"/> Broad approach to RI incorporation</li><li><input type="radio"/> Detailed explanation of RI incorporation strategy used</li></ul>
	<p>Frequency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Biannually</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Annually</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually</li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ad hoc/when requested</li></ul>

**SG 19.2**

Additional information [Optional]

Our general policy for responsible investments are published at our website which one can access through this link: <http://www.aktieansvar.se/ansvar/ansvar/policy/>

# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities


### Implementation processes

LEI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------	-------

LEI 01.1	Indicate which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed listed equities; and the breakdown of your actively managed listed equities by strategy or combination of strategies.
----------	---

#### ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)

- Screening alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Thematic alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Integration alone (i.e., not combined with any other strategies)
- Screening and integration strategies

Percentage of active listed equity to which the strategy is applied — you may estimate +/- 5%	 <p>100</p>
---	--

- Thematic and integration strategies
- Screening and thematic strategies
- All three strategies combined
- We do not apply incorporation strategies

Total actively managed listed equities
--

100%

**LEI 01.2**

Describe your organisation's approach to ESG incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular strategy/strategies.

It is the portfolio managers responsibility for implementing Aktie-Ansvars policies when it comes to the main ESG strategies. Our screening strives to identify risk factors as early as possible to prevent us from investing in companies which does not comply with our ethical guidelines. ESG factors such as social factors are used in the screening criteria. This by excluding companies that have more than a turnover of 5 % arising from alcohol, tobacco, weapons, gambling, pornography and fossil fuel. Other ESG strategies that has been incorporated during the reporting year is described shortly below:

ESG aspects are taken into account in the corporate analyzes and investment decisions, but does not have to be decisive for which companies that funds are investing in. When material on ESG factors are missing for a possible investment prospect, Aktie-Ansvar acts upon this and starts a dialogue about these concerns. The portfolio managers thereby request ESG information if there is a lack of it in the regular reporting and information given.

Also, Aktie-Ansvar has internal rules for managing voting rights at annual meetings in the companies that we are investing in.

**(A) Implementation: Screening****LEI 04****Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****PRI 1****LEI 04.1**

Indicate and describe the type of screening you apply to your internally managed active listed equities.

**Type of screening**

- Negative/exclusionary screening

**Screened by**

- Product
- Activity
- Sector
- Country/geographic region
- Environmental and social practices and performance
- Corporate governance

**Description**

As described earlier Aktie-Ansvar has a long history as an ethical manager not investing in weapons, alcohol, tobacco, gambling and pornography related companies. To clarify, negative screening is done in the funds where we have direct securities holdings. For our Swedish and European equity funds, we also exclude companies that are related to oil, gas and coal.

- Positive/best-in-class screening
- Norms-based screening



**LEI 04.2**

Describe how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made to your screening criteria.

The criteria, not to invest in these kind of companies, are consistent. The screening is done on continues basis since a portfolio company may change its line of business.

The unit holders are informed of any relevant changes made through the policy of responsible investment. The latest version of the policy is always published at Aktie-Ansvars's website.

**LEI 05****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****LEI 05.1**

Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG screening is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies.
- External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar.
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies.
- Trading platforms blocking / restricting flagged securities on the black list.
- A committee, body or similar with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions.
- A periodic review of internal research is carried out.
- Review and evaluation of external research providers.
- Other; specify
- None of the above




**LEI 05.5**

Additional information. [Optional]

The negative screening is done through analysis of the portfolio companies' financial statements that are audited. The portfolio companies are members of the stock exchange hence they are under strict review of their auditors.

**(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors****LEI 08****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 1****LEI 08.1**

Indicate the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios where E, S and G factors are systematically researched as part of your investment analysis.

ESG issues	Proportion impacted by analysis
Environmental	 <p> <input type="radio"/> &lt;10%  <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50%  <input type="radio"/> 51-90%  <input type="radio"/> &gt;90% </p>
Social	 <p> <input type="radio"/> &lt;10%  <input type="radio"/> 10-50%  <input type="radio"/> 51-90%  <input checked="" type="radio"/> &gt;90% </p>
Corporate Governance	 <p> <input type="radio"/> &lt;10%  <input checked="" type="radio"/> 10-50%  <input type="radio"/> 51-90%  <input type="radio"/> &gt;90% </p>

LEI 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**LEI 09.1** Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on robust analysis.

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products
- Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly
- A periodic review of the internal research is carried out
- Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team
- ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark
- Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance
- Other; specify
- None of the above

**LEI 09.3**

Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are updated.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

**LEI 09.4**

Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration strategy.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Bi-Annually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually

**LEI 09.5**

Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.

- ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools, and it is accessible by all relevant staff
- ESG information or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or industry/sector analysis generated by investment staff
- Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research were incorporated into investment decisions
- Other; specify
- None of the above

# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

### Public version

#### Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Overview

LEA 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 2

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

Attachment provided:

URL provided:

URL

<http://www.aktieansvar.se/globalassets/aktie-ansvar/ansvar/policy/policy-ansvarsfulla-investeringar.pdf>

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- Conflicts of interest
- Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- Expectations and objectives
- Engagement approach
- (Proxy) voting approach

## Voting

- ESG issues
  - Prioritisation and scope of voting activities
  - Methods of voting
  - Transparency of voting activities
  - Regional voting practice approaches
  - Filing or co-filing resolutions
  - Company dialogue pre/post-vote
  - Decision-making processes
  - Securities lending processes
  - Other; (specify)
  - Other
  - None of the above
- No

**LEA 01.4** Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?

- Yes
- No

## Engagement

**LEA 02**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 1,2,3**

**LEA 02.1**

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers

**LEA 02.2**

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

**LEA 02.3**

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- We discuss the rationale for the engagement
- We discuss the objectives of the engagement
- We select the companies to be engaged with
- We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- We discuss the next steps for engagement activity
- We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider
- Other; specify
- We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

No

**LEA 03**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Core Assessed**

**PRI 2**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 03.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

- Yes
- No

**LEA 03.3** Additional information. [Optional]

Aktie-Ansvar do not have a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements. It's on a case by case basis.

**LEA 04** **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2**

**New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.**

**LEA 04.1** Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration
Service-provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> All engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Majority of engagement activities <input type="radio"/> Minority of engagement activities <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers

**LEA 05** **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 2**

**LEA 05.1** Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.



Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out through collaboration.
Service-provider engagements	<input type="radio"/> Yes, in all cases <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, in a majority of cases <input type="radio"/> Yes, in a minority of cases <input type="radio"/> We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes when the engagement is carried out by our service providers.

**LEA 05.2**

Indicate whether you do any of the following to monitor and/or review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Collaborative engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify
Service-provider engagements	<input type="checkbox"/> Define timelines/milestones for your objectives <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs <input type="checkbox"/> Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met <input type="checkbox"/> Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on a continuous basis <input type="checkbox"/> Other; specify

**LEA 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Additional Assessed**

**PRI 2,4**

**LEA 06.1**

Indicate whether your organisation has an escalation strategy when engagements are unsuccessful.

Yes

**LEA 06.2**

Indicate the escalation strategies used at your organisation following unsuccessful engagements.

- Collaborating with other investors
- Issuing a public statement
- Filing/submitting a shareholder resolution
- Voting against the re-election of the relevant directors
- Voting against the board of directors or the annual financial report
- Submitting nominations for election to the board
- Seeking legal remedy / litigation
- Reducing exposure (size of holdings)
- Divestment
- Other; specify

No

**LEA 07**

Voluntary

Public

Additional Assessed

PRI 1,2

**LEA 07.1**

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
<b>Individual / Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Service-provider engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

**LEA 07.2** | Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

- Involving investment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme
- Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
- Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
- Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
- Other; specify
- None

**LEA 07.3** | Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation’s engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Service-provider engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, systematically <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, occasionally <input type="radio"/> No

**LEA 07.4** | Additional information. [Optional]

All portfolio managers has regular meetings where we discuss everything regarding companies, including ESG matters. That way everybody can both benefit and contribute to our ESG knowledge pool.

<b>LEA 08</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Gateway</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

**LEA 08.1** | Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
<b>Individual/Internal staff engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track
<b>Collaborative engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full <input type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements <input checked="" type="radio"/> We do not track
<b>Service-provider engagements</b>	<input type="radio"/> Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements <input type="radio"/> We do not track

**LEA 08.2** Additional information. [Optional]

We affect our service providers to become a UN-PRI signatory.

### (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

**LEA 12** **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **PRI 2**

**LEA 12.1** Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

Based on

- Our own voting policy
- Our clients` requests or policies
- Other (explain)

We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.

We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.

We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

**LEA 12.2** Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

We cast votes if we own 1 percent or more of the outstanding votes in a portfolio company or we have a strong opinion we feel needs to be heard.

LEA 15	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

**LEA 15.1** Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which where you or the service providers acting on your behalf raised concerns with companies ahead of voting.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- Neither we nor our service provider(s) raise concerns with companies ahead of voting

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**LEA 16.1** Indicate the proportion of votes where you, and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicated the rationale to companies for abstaining or voting against management recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- 100%
- 99-75%
- 74-50%
- 49-25%
- 24-1%
- We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

**LEA 17.1** For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

- We do track or collect this information

	Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)
--	--------------------------------

	%
--	---

5

	Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated
--	--

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- We do not track or collect this information

**LEA 17.2** Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- Notice, ballots or materials not received on time
- Missed deadline
- Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- Cost
- Conflicts of interest
- Holdings deemed too small
- Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)
- Client request
- Other (explain)

<b>LEA 18</b>	<b>Voluntary</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

**LEA 18.1** Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

- Yes, we track this information
- No, we do not track this information

<b>LEA 19</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Core Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 2</b>
---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

**LEA 19.1** Indicate whether your organisation has a formal escalation strategy following unsuccessful voting.

- Yes
- No

# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Direct - Fixed Income

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## ESG incorporation in actively managed fixed income

### Implementation processes

FI 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
FI 01.1	Indicate (1) Which ESG incorporation strategy and/or combination of strategies you apply to your actively managed fixed income investments; and (2) The proportion (+/- 5%) of your total actively managed fixed income investments each strategy applies to.			



Corporate (financial)	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	100
	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0 100%
Corporate (non-financial)	Screening alone	0
	Thematic alone	0
	Integration alone	0
	Screening + integration strategies	100

	Thematic + integration strategies	0
	Screening + thematic strategies	0
	All three strategies combined	0
	No incorporation strategies applied	0
		100%

**FI 01.2** Describe your reasons for choosing a particular ESG incorporation strategy and how combinations of strategies are used.

In all funds with direct underlying's we use negative screening in order to comply with our policy, which states that we do not invest in companies with a turnover exceeding 5 % arising from alcohol, tobacco, weapons, gambling or distribution of pornography. Neither do we invest in companies that have a turnover exceeding 0% from production of pornography.

Furthermore, ESG factors are included and considered in the analysis and the decision-making process related to investments and may contribute to both inclusions and exclusions.

<b>FI 03</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Additional Assessed</b>	<b>PRI 1</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------

**FI 03.1** Indicate how you ensure that your ESG research process is robust:

- Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken internally to determine companies' activities; and products and/or services
- Issuers are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies
- Issuer information and/or ESG ratings are updated regularly to ensure ESG research is accurate
- Internal audits and regular reviews of ESG research are undertaken in a systematic way.
- A materiality/sustainability framework is created and regularly updated that includes all the key ESG risks and opportunities for each sector/country.
- Other, specify
- None of the above

**FI 03.2** Describe how your ESG information or analysis is shared among your investment team.

- ESG information is held within a centralised database and is accessible to all investment staff
- ESG information is displayed on front office research platforms
- ESG information is a standard item on all individual issuer summaries, research notes, ‘tear sheets’, or similar documents
- Investment staff are required to discuss ESG information on issuers as a standard item during investment committee meetings
- Records capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions
- Other, specify
- None of the above

**(A) Implementation: Screening**

**FI 04** **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway** **PRI 1**

**FI 04.1** Indicate the type of screening you conduct.

Select all that apply

	<b>Corporate (financial)</b>	<b>Corporate (non-financial)</b>	
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Positive/best-in-class screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Norms-based screening	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**FI 04.2** Describe your approach to screening for internally managed active fixed income

We use negative ESG screening within our fixed income strategies in accordance with our policy for responsible investment, which states that we do not invest in companies with a turnover exceeding 5 % arising from alcohol, tobacco, weapons, gambling or distribution of pornography. Neither do we invest in companies that have a turnover exceeding 0% from production of pornography. Other ESG factors are integrated and considered in the investment process but do not necessarily result in exclusions.

**FI 06** **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **PRI 1**

**FI 06.1** Indicate which systems your organisation has to ensure that fund screening criteria are not breached in fixed income investments.

Type of screening	Checks
Negative/exclusionary screening	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Analysis is performed to ensure that issuers meet screening criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We ensure that data used for the screening criteria is updated at least once a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Automated IT systems prevent our portfolio managers from investing in excluded issuers or bonds that do not meet screening criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Audits of fund holdings are undertaken yearly by internal audit or compliance functions <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above

### (C) Implementation: Integration

FI 10	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

FI 10.1	Describe your approach to integrating ESG into traditional financial analysis.
---------	--

ESG information gathered is included in the analysis and investment decision making process. ESG factors play a role when investing, depending on how the risks and opportunities appear for every specific company and sector.

Hence ESG factors are taken into account in corporate analysis in an integrated way along with other factors of importance for the decision making. Therefore ESG factors for which we do not have negative/exclusion criteria are part of the investment process, but do not necessarily result in exclusions. An ESG factor could also be one of the main reasons for inclusion.

FI 10.2	Describe how your ESG integration approach is adapted to each of the different types of fixed income you invest in.
---------	---

	Corporate (financial)
--	-----------------------

Before an investment decision is made, the portfolio manager performs individual research. Data or information are collected from annual reports or external credit analysis.

If available, data supplied through Bloomberg are used. Also research made by counterparties is used. The most important ESG factors of an issuer are captured in short internal notes/bullets. Risks and opportunities including the ESG related ones are considered. If there are high risks related to an ESG factor, for which the investor is not expected to be compensated through the risk premia, an investment is not likely to take place. Also, if there is a major ESG related credit strength identified, it could be supportive for the investment.

Within the group Financials we look for different ESG factors depending on the type of company, but governance would be important in all cases. For instance, in the case of banks their lending practices and ethics are of interest, along with their compliance with regulations. For real estate companies energy efficiency and purchase practises are of particular interest as well as their sustainability thinking when it comes to urban planning etc. In the case of investment companies their holdings are analyzed according to type of company.

Corporate (non-financial)

Before an investment decision is made, the portfolio manager performs individual research. Data are collected from annual reports or external credit analysis.

If available, data supplied through Bloomberg are used. Also research made by counterparties is used. The most important ESG factors of an issuer are captured in internal notes/bullets. The risks and opportunities including the ESG related ones are considered. If there are high risks related to an ESG factor, for which the investor is not expected to be compensated through the risk premia, an investment is not likely to take place. Also, if there is a major ESG related credit strength identified, it could be supportive for the investment.

The ESG factors of most interest varies with the type of company. For instance, in the case of a sector such as mining, environmental factors could be the most important ESG factors whereas in another sectors it could be other factors. Governance would however be important in all cases.

FI 11	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

FI 11.1	Indicate how ESG information is typically used as part of your investment process.
---------	--

Select all that apply

	Corporate (financial)	Corporate (non-financial)	
ESG analysis is integrated into fundamental analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is used to adjust the internal credit assessments of issuers.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is used to adjust forecasted financials and future cash flow estimates.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis impacts the ranking of an issuer relative to a chosen peer group.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
An issuer's ESG bond spreads and its relative value versus its sector peers are analysed to find out if all risks are priced in.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
The impact of ESG analysis on bonds of an issuer with different durations/maturities are analysed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis are applied to valuation models to compare the difference between base-case and ESG-integrated security valuation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ESG analysis is integrated into portfolio weighting decisions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Companies, sectors, countries and currency and monitored for changes in ESG exposure and for breaches of risk limits.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The ESG profile of portfolios is examined for securities with high ESG risks and assessed relative to the ESG profile of a benchmark.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other, specify in Additional Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

FI 12	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	---------------------	-------

FI 12.1	Indicate the extent to which ESG issues are reviewed in your integration process.
---------	---

	Environment	Social	Governance
Corporate (financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all
Corporate (non-financial)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Environmental <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Social <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Governance <input type="radio"/> Systematically <input type="radio"/> Occasionally <input type="radio"/> Not at all

**FI 12.2** Please provide more detail on how you review E, S and/or G factors in your integration process.

**Corporate (financial)**

Our approach is based on a mix of quantitative data and qualitative judgement. Many ESG risks are associated with reputational risks and as such are important for the future of the companies/institutions.

Company reports and counterparty research notes are used. Where available data supplied by Bloomberg are used. Attendance at company presentations gives the opportunity to ask questions directly to management.

Governance is considered to generally be the most important factor as it defines the ability to do well on E and S as well. The board of directors/management and their track record are of importance.

ESG factors will receive different level of attention depending on the level of impact relative to other credit risks and strengths regarding the issuer. Furthermore, we differentiate the type of risks we focus on depending on the nature of the issuer's business activities, geographic presence etc. For instance, in the case of banks their governance and level of compliance will be given priority.

**Corporate (non-financial)**

Our approach is based on a mix of quantitative data and qualitative judgement. Many ESG risks are associated with reputational risks and as such are important for the future of the companies.

Company reports and counterparty research notes are used. Where available data supplied by Bloomberg are used. Attendance at company presentations gives the opportunity to ask questions directly to management.

The ESG factors will receive different level of attention depending on the impact relative to other credit risks and strengths. The ESG factors of most interest will vary with the type of company. In the case of a company in a sector such as mining, environmental factors could be the most important ESG factors whereas in another sectors it could be other factors. For companies active in regions with a high degree of corruption more emphasis need to be placed on S-related factors such as work practices and code of conduct when doing business. In sectors with dangerous activities, we put more emphasis on work safety and look for data on that. Governance would be important in all cases as it defines the ability to do well on E and S as well. The board of directors/management and their track records are of importance.

# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

### Public version

### Direct - Hedge Funds

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



## Policy

HF 01	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**HF 01.1** What is your rationale for adopting a policy to incorporate RI into the investment decision-making process? Please select all options that apply to your organisation.

- To provide a framework and ESG applicability to security selection (the strategy) and decision-making in Hedge Funds (e.g. breaking the strategy into different components and focus on risk/return).
- To provide a framework of the fund governance structure.
- Because ESG incorporation is perceived as a competitive advantage in the industry.
- Growing momentum of sustainable investing in Hedge Funds in the financial community.
- Other
- None of the above (we don't have a policy addressing RI incorporation into Hedge Funds).

## Governance

HF 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**HF 03.1** Indicate whether and how your organisation has organised RI implementation and/or oversight responsibilities.

- We have dedicated internal staff with RI oversight responsibility for Hedge Funds (CEO, CIO, PM, etc.)

Specify

The BoD decides upon the policies which the CEO oversees.

- We have dedicated internal staff with RI implementation responsibility for Hedge Funds (CEO, CIO, PM, etc.).

Specify

Responsible investment is a fully integrated part of our portfolio management.

- We use external consultants that have oversight and/or RI implementation responsibilities.
- Other
- We do not have staff dedicated to RI oversight and implementation.

**HF 03.2** Additional information. [Optional]

Responsible investment is a fully integrated part of our portfolio management. For instants, analyzing and assessing the governance of a holding is key in our long/short equity strategy hence it is dealt with within the investment process.

HF 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**HF 04.1**

Please indicate whether you implemented any RI training program regarding hedge funds investments for your staff during the reporting year.

- Yes, we have a formal RI training/educational program covering hedge funds.
- Yes, we have a RI training program to educate staff regarding our hedge funds policies.
- Yes, we regularly train our staff on code of ethics/compliance manuals covering hedge funds investments.
- Other
- No, we don't have a RI training program.

**Investment process**

**HF 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**HF 06.1**

Please describe the ESG resources and tools used in your investment decision-making process.

Category of ESG	Reason for use
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESG data (proprietary, 3rd party, etc.)	Bloomberg used for scoring on various factors.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESG research (broker, etc.)	Today most sell side firms have ESG analysts and produce reports on companies and different topics.
<input type="checkbox"/> Consultants	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other resources/tools/practices	

**HF 06.2**

Select and explain how these resources are incorporated into the investment and risk management process?

Category of ESG	Investment/risk management process	Additional text (optional)
ESG data (proprietary, 3rd party, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment origination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portfolio construction <input type="checkbox"/> Trade management <input type="checkbox"/> Risk management	
ESG research (broker, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment origination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investment analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portfolio construction <input type="checkbox"/> Trade management <input type="checkbox"/> Risk management	

**HF 07**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**1,2**

**HF 07.1**

Does your organisation uses quantitative analysis?

Yes

Please indicate at which level ESG is incorporated into the analysis.

Quantitative modelling	ESG incorporation	Outcomes and assessment/review
<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-factors models <input type="checkbox"/> Fractal Market Hypothesis (FMH) modelling <input type="checkbox"/> Models Yield <input type="checkbox"/> Technical analysis (Fibonacci retracements, Bollinger bands, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Univariate models (Box-Jenkins) <input type="checkbox"/> Monte-Carlo simulations <input type="checkbox"/> Multiple regression analysis <input type="checkbox"/> Correlation analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Please specify</div> ESG scoring model	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-defined ESG parameters are added in our quantitative models. <input type="checkbox"/> We conduct scenario analysis to define ESG parameters separately. <input type="checkbox"/> Variance/Value at Risk analysis with embedded ESG-risks. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <div style="background-color: #0070C0; color: white; padding: 2px; text-align: center;">Please specify</div> ESG screen in ranking equities.	Continued focus on Quality, defined including ESG factors.

We don't use quantitative analysis.

**HF 07.2**

Does your organisation uses fundamental analysis?

Yes

Please indicate at which level ESG is incorporated into the analysis.

Fundamental approach	ESG incorporation	Outcomes and assessment/review
<input type="checkbox"/> Top-down <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bottom-up	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> At a micro level - ESG factors are integrated into financial models (DCF, multiples, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial ratios with embedded ESG factors. <input type="checkbox"/> At a macro level - ESG factors are embedded with economic indicators (GDP, inflation, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other	ESG rank impact on risk premium.

We don't use fundamental analysis.

HF 08	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	1,6
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-----

**HF 08.1** Could you please indicate whether there have been any changes to your RI incorporation process over the past 12 months (e.g. additional resources, information sources)?

- Yes
- No

**HF 08.2** If yes, please describe them.

For us RI is growing area and the models, factors and methods are constantly under development.

<b>HF 09</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>1,2</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------

**HF 09.1** Please select and explain how active ownership practices are integrated into investment decisions.

- (Proxy) Voting  
If possible and necessary we do exercise our right to vote at AGMs.
- Engagement
- Shareholder resolution
- None of the above
- Not applicable (N/A)

<b>HF 10</b>	<b>Mandatory</b>	<b>Public</b>	<b>Descriptive</b>	<b>1,2</b>
--------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------	------------

**HF 10.1** Please provide examples of where ESG risks and opportunities were incorporated into the investment decisions over the past 12 months.

- Add Example 1

Hedge Funds Strategy	<b>Equity Hedge</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Global macro <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equity Hedge <input type="checkbox"/> Event driven <input type="checkbox"/> Relative value <input type="checkbox"/> Risk parity <input type="checkbox"/> Blockchain <input type="checkbox"/> Fund of Hedge Funds
ESG factors	<b>Environmental</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> Social <input type="checkbox"/> Governance
ESG risks/opportunities	Global warming is a threat to our planet. We think companies that can help in this area have a bright future.
Financial risks	Things don't turn out as planned.
Scope and process	We identified companies with large forest holding who neutralize carbon dioxide, It's our belief that they are undervalued.
Outcomes	Some of these companies are now holdings in our funds both on valuation and ESG.

- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10
- We are not able to provide examples

**HF 10.2** Based on your example(s) provided above, please specify whether the incorporation of ESG factors affected the risk-adjusted returns of your hedge funds.

- The incorporation of ESG risks positively affected the risk adjusted returns of the hedge funds
- The incorporation of ESG risks negatively affected the risk adjusted returns of the hedge funds
- The incorporation of ESG risks had an overall neutral effect on the risk adjusted returns of the hedge funds
- No impact, or we do not track this information.

HF 11	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**HF 11.1**

Do you use derivatives instruments as part of your hedge funds strategies and/or Funds of Hedge Funds?

- Yes
- No

**HF 11.2**

Please select all the applicable categories of derivatives used.

Listed/OTC	Category of derivatives
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Listed derivatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Futures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Options (Equity, Index, ETF, FX, IR, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above
<input checked="" type="radio"/> OTC derivatives	<input type="checkbox"/> Swaps <input type="checkbox"/> FRA <input type="checkbox"/> Exotic derivatives <input type="checkbox"/> CDS <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None of the above

**HF 11.3**

Please explain whether and how these derivatives impacted the risk-adjusted returns of your hedge funds investments?

Impact

- Positive impact
- Negative impact
- Neutral impact
- No impact or we do not track this information

Outcomes

Our marginal contribution of various instruments are for internal use.

**HF 11.4**

Please indicate whether the use of derivatives triggered ESG risks/opportunities at the fund level?

- Yes
- No, or undetermined

### Monitoring and reporting

**HF 12**

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

1,2

**HF 12.1** Could you indicate whether you report separately on your funds' long/short/net exposures?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

Please explain

We track and report L/S and net exposure internally.

**HF 13**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**1,2**

**HF 13.1**

Please describe what metrics/initiatives (internal and/or external) your organisation uses to measure its progress in incorporating RI into the investment process.

- Add Example 1
- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10
- We are not able to provide examples

**HF 15**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**2,6**

**HF 15.1**

How often and in what format (e.g. meetings, written reports) does your organisation report to its investors on ESG activities risks assessments? Please provide reporting examples.

- Add Example 1

Frequency of reporting	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Annually <input type="radio"/> More frequently than annually <input type="radio"/> Other
Format	<input type="checkbox"/> Minutes of meetings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Written reports <input type="checkbox"/> Side letters/emails <input type="checkbox"/> Other
ESG activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Social <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance
Portfolio ESG risks assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fund governance <input type="checkbox"/> Linear constrains <input type="checkbox"/> Quadratic constraints <input type="checkbox"/> Other
Outcomes	In the annual reports of the funds.

- Add Example 2
- Add Example 3
- Add Example 4
- Add Example 5
- Add Example 6
- Add Example 7
- Add Example 8
- Add Example 9
- Add Example 10
- We are not able to provide examples



# Aktie-Ansvar AB

## Reported Information

## Public version

## Confidence building measures

## PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

## Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

**CM1 01.1** Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
  - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
  - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**CM1 02.1** We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

**CM1 03.1** We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme

**CM1 03.2** Which scheme?

- National SRI label based on the EUROSIF Transparency guidelines
- B-corporation
- UK Stewardship code
- GRESB
- Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- Social label
- Climate label
- RIAA
- Other

Specify

SWESIF

% of total AUM the scheme applies

- < 25%
- 25-50 %
- 50-70 %
- >75 %

- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

**CM1 04**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**CM1 04.1**

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

**CM1 06**

**Mandatory**

**Public**

**Descriptive**

**General**

**CM1 06.1**

Provide details of the third party assurance of RI related processes, and/or details of the internal audit conducted by internal auditors of RI related processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)

What RI processes have been assured

- Data related to RI activities
- RI policies

Specify

Aktie-Ansvars responsible investment policy

- RI related governance
- Engagement processes
- Proxy voting process
- Integration process in listed assets
- Screening process in listed assets
- Thematic process in listed assets
- Other

When was the process assurance completed(dd/ mm/yy)

30/03/2020

Assurance standard used

- IIA's International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing
- ISAE 3402
- ISO standard
- AAF 01/06
- SSE18
- AT 101 (excluding financial data)
- Other

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

**Who has conducted the verification**

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)